

Further emails from Mr J V White (original objection on pages 55/56 of the agenda pack)

Dear Mr White

Thank you for your reply, yes I'm quite happy for all to see, it's all quite truthful and I'm sure others at 'meeting' will attest.

The 'Applicants' apparently have offered to pay towards Avenue of Trees that landowner has been planning for a few years to plant in memory of his late wife, also they make it known of one of the Applicants has & will support Church, fete and school, all in Ampert, maybe why they have some support there?

They also mention how 'brewery' will be a meeting place for residents, residents don't need or require a meeting place, we're fortunate we have two well established pubs / restaurants in East Cholderton and Monxton, also village hall if needed.

I forgot to mention the Owl population around this area, quite protected as are the Bats, needless to say any industry apart from Agriculture as at present will be of great detriment to these protected species and all the other Biodiversity in the area around the farm and fields, noise and light pollution will be of grave concern, to the residents who enjoy the dark peaceful nights and wildlife as well as to the protected species we all enjoy & encourage.

I am aware that wildlife isn't considered in Licence Applications but as this I'll conceived application shows it is via a Conservation area and an Agricultural Farm. It would be best if another site for the Applicant business were investigated, there are plenty of suitable sites around various small Industrial buildings in Andover of which there is sufficient parking, security and not impingement of residents homes and rural surroundings.

Kind Regards

J V White

Dear Mr White,

I can't make the Consultation meeting on 18th October due to business.

However I do hope the panel will look at my previous emails on the matter , including the debacle of a sudden meeting called by the 'Applicants' in which one can only describe as 'rent a crowd' as mostly those unknown persons shouted down any residents who wished to express their opposition and reasons, I walked out after being poked in the back , screamed at and then physically shouldered as I left by the 'Applicant' with the pony tail .

As I left I muttered outside ' what a bunch of c...s at which some bleached blonde woman appeared from outside and accused me of calling them all that which I muttered to myself, I said I was actually muttering my disgust at the 'supporters' attitude, she carried on so as to attract attention , next I know as I'm walking onto Wiremead Lane and large burly man started shouting at me and using threatening behaviour, only stopped by main applicant who pulled him back, quite obviously known to each other.

The sudden meeting was suddenly posted on Facebook which not every is on, cynically on a Saturday Bank Holiday when many are away or had plans, residents who did appear had no idea who the 'supporters' were or way they came from, as one resident said, more like rent a mob for friends of 'Applicants'

However, the landowner Mr Simon Cheshire stopped to tell me 2 days before said meeting that he hopes everyone will oppose it as he didn't want it to go ahead.

At the meeting he said how 'wonderful the 'applicants are and hope everyone will support them, completely opposite to what he'd said to myself and others. He had been treated to a lovely lunch by applicants just prior to the meeting, all very odd.

There doesn't appear to be any application for change of use to the only Grain Store in good condition from what we've all looked at.

East Cholderton is a small hamlet with farms either side, Haydown Farm is Agricultural, previously a Dairy Farm, it has 4 footpaths crossing where this grain store is situated.

There is no light or noise pollution, that would greatly affect the many Bats in the area should this application be approved.

My Cheshire we feel is being coerced into agreeing the application or at least 'leant' on, he is a widower and almost 81 years of age.

Earlier this year he installed lockable farm gates leading from Wiremead Lane as he and he's tenets who keep horses on both sides of the track we're concerned with thefts, mobile homes, horse electrified fences and batteries among the thefts.

Who will police anyone driving up and down track day and night, who will be there to protect farm machinery and horses and cattle in a private field, it's very worrisome as you may imagine. The Applicants' talked for 45 minutes about their families, a letter of support from people in Dummer, miles away from East Cholderton, then told everyone about nasty letters sent to him, no proof of course and then the usual 'American' tears etc which convinced no one.

East Cholderton residents were never consulted in anyway and no one knew, not even Parish Council until the Application was posted, it was disgraceful that a few supporters in Ampert & Monxton knew but no one in the area that was to be greatly affected.

This Application should be thrown out for various reasons, it's in an agricultural building that will be needed when Mr Cheshire either sells the Farm or passes away. There has been no application for change of use to an Agricultural Building, the peace and safety of residents, children walkers and animals will be placed at risk to unknown persons drinking on or nearby the proposed premises, noise and light pollution will greatly affect residents and wildlife including Bats, all of which we at present enjoy and appreciate, the very reason why we all live here.

The increased traffic will become another noise pollution nuisance day and night, Wiremead Lane already suffer from speeding and to add that to drink driving in middle of rural hamlet should not in any form be encouraged, health and safety will be further compromised as the entire length of Wiremead Lane is narrow in places and has no pedestrian walkways, it's a country Lane.

Mr Cheshire did tell me that the Applicants prefers not to bother with using Solicitors during the 'agreement', I told Mr Cheshire to make sure he talks to a good Solicitor before anything is agreed as I felt something was very dodgy about the whole plan, he was worried I could tell.

He likes to please everyone and he's a nice person, however we all feel he has been very much pressured into some agreement, hence he hoped everyone would stop it be opposing the Application.

If you would be so kind as to let the panel read a copy of my email I would be very grateful.

I know some very educated and articulate residents will be speaking and we all pray this Application is turned down, even if applicants now say , they'll only be brewing beer there, it's a creeping imposition and a great detriment to the whole area , most

of which is a Conservation Area , these people will apply later for 'extensions',
'faster evenings and so on until they get all they want, no one trusts them at all .

Yours Sincerely

J V White